



SWAT

Danville • Lafayette • Moraga • Orinda • San Ramon & the County of Contra Costa

SUMMARY MINUTES
January 7, 2013 – 3:00 p.m.
Town of Moraga
Hacienda de las Flores
2100 Donald Drive
Moraga, California

Committee Members Present: Michael Metcalf (Chair), Town of Moraga; David Hudson (Vice Chair), City of San Ramon; Amy Worth, City of Orinda; Don Tatzin, City of Lafayette; Karen Stepper, Town of Danville; Candace Andersen, Contra Costa County.

Staff members present: Chuck Swanson, City of Orinda; John Cunningham, Contra Costa County; Shawna Brekke-Read, Town of Moraga; Leah Greenblat, City of Lafayette; Lisa Bobadilla, City of San Ramon; Andy Dillard, Town of Danville.

Others present: Martin Engelmann, CCTA; Brad Beck, CCTA; Diedre Heitman, BART; Grace Schmidt, Alamo; Smitty Schmidt, Alamo; Mike Gibson, Alamo.

- 1. CONVENE MEETING/SELF INTRODUCTIONS:** Meeting called to order by Chair Metcalf at 3:05 p.m.
- 2. PUBLIC COMMENT:** Grace Schmidt spoke that, as has been expressed and documented at past SWAT and Tri-Valley Transportation Council meetings, residents of Alamo are not in support of road widening projects for the Stone Valley/Danville Blvd intersection. Ms. Schmidt stated how the eliminated project was slated to be partially funded by the Southern Contra Costa (SCC) Fee Mitigation Program, which is a fee program that was created as part of the Dougherty Valley Settlement. Ms. Schmidt requested to obtain a copy of the Dougherty Valley Settlement Agreement from County staff.

Mike Gibson, speaking on behalf of the Alamo Improvement Association, also spoke regarding the Dougherty Valley Settlement Agreement, the associated SCC fee program and funding, and the associated projects that the funding was eligible for. Mr. Gibson reiterated that residents of Alamo are sensitive to projects or funding sources that have been previously identified that may facilitate traffic flow through the Alamo area. It was mentioned that a Stone Valley/Danville Blvd project that was previously listed in the Tri-Valley Transportation Plan/Action Plan was removed as part of the last update in 2008. Mr. Gibson requested information on the governing body that oversees the SCC fee

program. County staff volunteered to forward the requested documents and information pertaining to the fee program and settlement agreement to Mr. Gibson.

Martin Engelmann spoke that the Stone Valley Road/Danville Blvd. project that had been, at one time, included in previous transportation planning documents and transportation project databases, had since been removed and eliminated as a part of previous transportation document and project list updates, and that it is no longer a planned project.

3. BOARD MEMBER COMMENT: None

4. ADMINISTRATIVE ITEMS: Andy Dillard recorded the minutes. Extra agenda packets were made available.

5. CONSENT CALENDAR:

5.A Approval of Minutes: SWAT Minutes of October 1, 2012 (*Attachment - Action*)

5.B Appoint the SWAT Chair and Vice-Chair for 2013

5.D Appoint the South County SWAT Representative to the CCTA

5.E Approve Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for SWAT Administrative Services for Contract Service Years 2013 and 2014 meeting.

ACTION: Motion made to approve items 5.A, 5.B, 5.D, 5.E, and remove item 5.C for discussion. Tatzin/Worth/Unanimous

5.C Appoint the Lamorinda SWAT Representative to the CCTA: Committee Member Tatzin requested that the 2013 rotation of the Lamorinda representative seat on the CCTA Board be delayed until after the February 2013 Authority Board meeting. The Moraga representative (Metcalf) would assume the Lamorinda seat at the March 2013 (or subsequent) Authority meeting.

ACTION: Worth/Tatzin/Unanimous

End of Consent Calendar

6. REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS:

6.A Status Updates on SB 375/Sustainable Community Strategies (SCS) and OneBayArea Grant (OBAG):

Martin Engelmann, CCTA staff provided an update on the latest information on the OneBayArea Grant program including current estimated funding levels, programmatic allocation amounts, and eligibility requirements. It was reported that Contra Costa is estimated to receive approximately \$45M in OBAG funding as part of the proposed Cycle 2 commitments. As part of the OBAG criteria, there is an eligibility requirement that 70% of the funds are to be used within PDAs, or "proximate access to" PDAs, and 30% can be used in non-PDA areas.

Michael Metcalf inquired about the 70% PDA eligibility requirements as it relates to spending local streets and roads dollars under OBAG, and if it has to be spent directly within PDA's, and if it can include connecting arterial roadways. It was explained that it can also be spent in areas that provide proximate access to PDAs, and was suggested that some flexibility will be given to jurisdictions determining the definition of proximate access as part of the first round of OBAG funding.

Martin Engelmann also explained that there are two performance and accountability policies under OBAG that jurisdictions must produce including a Complete Streets checklist and HCD certification of it Housing Element. Additionally, it is the responsibility of the CMA's to produce a PDA investment and growth strategy as a means of establishing a project priority setting process that supports and encourages development within the region's PDAs, and that encourages and supports local jurisdictions to meet their housing objectives. The Authority is in the process of establishing a PDA working group to assist in developing PDA project evaluation and prioritization criteria. It was explained that examples of project prioritization criteria identified by OBAG should include projects in "high impact project areas".

Prior Cycle 1 and 2 funding commitments were reviewed, and it was explained that these committed funds were allocated to jurisdictions by a familiar formula that included road miles, population, and pavement condition. It was reported that there will be approximately \$7.0M remaining in OBAG Cycle 2 funds that have not yet been allocated. It was reported that many jurisdictions have indicated to the Authority that there is a strong desire to allocate these funds for local streets preservation. Karen Stepper reiterated and expressed support for allocating the remaining funding to local streets and roads.

The Authority will be convening the PDA working group in January, must have a PDA growth investment strategy draft prepared by March, and submit a final strategy and project list to MTC by June 30th. It was asked who would be serving on the PDA working group, and it was reported that it would be composed of a mix of local jurisdiction staff and advocacy groups. The PDA criteria, as developed by the working group, will also be vetted through the TCC and Planning Committee. Amy Worth asked if there would be opportunity for public works staff from the local jurisdictions (such as the City-County Engineer Advisory group) could be involved as they would provide critical input on the process as well as identify needs throughout the region. Brad Beck replied that it can be considered to include them in the process. Dave Hudson asked how the remaining \$7.0M in STP funds will be allocated, and could it be by formula consistent with the prior committed Cycle 1 and 2 funding. Hudson asked how the funds can be allocated and preserved for road improvements, and further, distributed and allocated amongst the RTPC regions. Karen Stepper expressed concerns regarding the representation on the PDA working group committee, and in consideration of the impacts that the ultimate PDA project criteria will have on local projects and funding opportunities. Stepper requested that the Authority circulate the roster and representation of the group once established.

Mike Metcalf expressed concerns regarding the tight timeline that the Authority is under in establishing the OBAG funding allocations and providing an approved project list to MTC by their June 30th deadline, and asked what consequences there

might be if the deadline is not met. Martin Engelmann believed that MTC's deadline should be able to be met given the schedule and timeline that has been set by the Authority. Amy Worth thanked CCTA staff for their hard work and efforts for planning and preparing the OBAG process for the County.

ACTION: None

6.B Status Update on 2014 Countywide Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP) Update:

Martin Engelmann provided an overview and schedule for the 2014 CTP update. The first phase of the CTP update will include updating the Action Plans for Routes of Regional Significance. It was further explained that once MTC releases its draft RTP, including the first Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area which provides forecasts through 2040, it will then be incorporated into the CTP update. The CTP update will start by using the Current Regional Plans (2010) projections, and that are adjusted to account for the great recession. Once MTC has released its draft RTP and projections 2013, they will be incorporated, as required, into the 2014 CTP.

The CTP update will also include the incorporation of an updated Comprehensive Transportation Project List (CTPL) and the incorporation of sustainability concepts. A detailed work plan, sustainability concepts, and further detailed information on the 2014 CTP update will be presented at the next SWAT meeting.

ACTION: None

7. WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS: The following written communication items were made available:

- CCTA summary of actions from Board meetings of 10/18/12 and 11/14/12
- WCCTAC summary of actions from Committee meeting of 9/28/12
- TRANSPLAN summary of actions from Committee meetings of 11/8/12 and 12/13/12
- TRANSPAC summary of actions from Committee meetings of 9/13/12 and 11/8/12
- City of San Ramon – Notice of Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration, ACRE Townhome Development
- Town of Danville – Notice of Availability, Draft EIR for 2030 General Plan Update
- Town of Danville – Notice of Availability, Draft EIR for Magee-Summerhill Residential Development
- City of Lafayette – Notice of Public Hearing, General Plan Amendment
- City of Lafayette – Notice of Public Hearing, Final EIR for Terraces of Lafayette Project

ACTION: None

8. DISCUSSION: Next Agenda

The next SWAT meeting is scheduled for Monday, February 4th, 2013, at a new location – City of San Ramon, 2222 Camino Ramon, San Ramon. Agenda items will include continued discussions on the 2014 CTP update efforts.

ACTION: None

9. **ADJOURNMENT:** The next meeting is scheduled for **Monday, February 4th, 2013** at **City of San Ramon, 2222 Camino Ramon, San Ramon.**

ACTION: Meeting adjourned by Chair Metcalf at 4:35 p.m.

Staff Contact:

Andy Dillard
Town of Danville
(925) 314-3384 PH
(925) 838-0797 FX
adillard@danville.ca.gov

Agendas, minutes and other information regarding this committee can be found at: www.cccounty.us/SWAT